1 2	Brandt L. Wolkin, Esq. (SBN 112220) Jennifer L. Elowsky, Esq. (SBN 230739) Catharine M. Tolson, Esq. (SBN 271223)			
3	WOLKIN · CURRAN, LLP  111 Maiden Lane, Sixth Floor			
4	San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 982-9390			
5	Facsimile: (415) 982-4328 bwolkin@wolkincurran.com			
6	jelowsky@wolkincurran.com ctolson@wolkincurran.com			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
8	ROCKHILL INSURANCE COMPANY			
9	UNITED STATES I	NSTRICT COURT		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CI OF CALIFORNIA		
12		l		
	ROCKHILL INSURANCE COMPANY,	Case No.:		
13	Plaintiff,	ROCKHILL INSURANCE COMPANY'S COMPLAINT FOR		
14	v.	EQUITABLE CONTRIBUTION AND DECLARATORY RELIEF		
15 16	ASSOCIATED INDUSTRIES INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.; and DOES 1-50,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	COMES NOW plaintiff, ROCKHILL INSURANCE COMPANY ("Rockhill"), by			
20	and through its attorneys, for claims of relief against Defendants, and each of them, as			
21	follows:			
22	NATURE OF ACTION AND RELIEF SOUGHT			
23	This insurance coverage action arises out of an underlying construction			
24	defect matter entitled <i>Benicia Mullikin v. Kelly Domer Partners, Inc.</i> , San Francisco County			
25	Superior Court Case No. CGC-19-574232 (the "Underlying Action"), relating to the			
26	renovation of the Mullikin Residence at 28 25th Avenue in San Francisco, California (the			
27	"Property").			
28	///			
	1.			
	COMPLAINT	CASE NO.		

Industries"), has failed and refused to honor its defense obligation to the parties' mutual

Defendant Associated Industries Insurance Company, Inc. ("Associated

2.

# insured, Kelly Domer Partners, Inc. dba Kelly Pacific Construction Co. ("Kelly Pacific"), with respect to the Underlying Action, causing Rockhill to incur a disproportionate share of defense of Kelly Pacific in the Action.

# THE PARTIES

- 3. Rockhill was, at all relevant times herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, with its principal place of business in Missouri. Rockhill was and is authorized to transact business in the State of California.
- 4. Rockhill alleges on information and belief that Associated Industries is a Florida corporation with its principal place of business in Florida, and is authorized to transact business in the State of California.
- 5. DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names, capacities and respective liabilities are unknown to Rockhill at this time. When their true names, capacities, and respective liabilities are ascertained, Rockhill will seek leave to amend this Complaint to assert the true names, capacities, and liabilities.
- 6. Rockhill is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each defendant was, and at all times mentioned herein is, acting as an agent and/or employee for other defendants, and each of them, and at all times was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment.
- 7. Rockhill is informed, believes, and thereon alleges each Defendant designated as "DOE" is in some manner liable or responsible to Rockhill and/or involved in some manner on the facts alleged herein.

## **JURISDICTION**

8. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because this is a civil action between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

2.

3 || ///

COMPLAINT CASE NO.

	l	l
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		ĺ
26 27		ĺ
28		ĺ
۷٥		١

9. The amount in controversy includes, but is not limited to, the sums that Rockhill contends the Defendants owe in connection with Kelly Pacific's defense in connection with the Underlying Action.

#### **VENUE**

10. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the alleged events and/or omissions giving right to the claims occurred here, including but not limited to the Property at issue being located in this District, the Underlying Action being venued in this District, and the Defendants breaching their obligations owed in this District.

# **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

11. Pursuant to Local Rule 3-2, assignment of this case to the San Francisco Division is proper because the action arises in the San Francisco Division due to the Property at issue being located in the San Francisco Division, the Underlying Action being venued in the San Francisco Division, and the Defendants breaching their obligations owed in the San Francisco Division.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

## The Underlying Action

- 12. Rockhill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the Sprague Mullikin Living Trust, owners of the Property, entered into an AIA contract with general contractor Kelly Pacific on or about October 10, 2013 for a renovation of the Property.
- 13. Kelly Pacific thereafter entered into a subcontract with Defendant's named insured, Diamond DSP, Inc. on September 14, 2016 to perform stucco work at the Property.
- 14. The subcontract required Diamond DSP to name Kelly Pacific as an additional insured on their respective Commercial General Liability Policies as follows:

SECTION 12. INSURANCE. Subcontractor shall, at its expense, procure and maintain insurance on all of its operations, with carriers acceptable to Contractor, and in amounts acceptable to Contractor and as required by the prime contract, including the following coverages:

3.

12.1 Casualty Insurance

- a. Workers Compensation and Employers Liability Insurance
- b. Commercial General Liability Insurance (ISO Form CG 00 01) covering all operations and
- c. Automobile Liability Insurance, including coverage for all owned, hired and non-owned automobiles

All insurance coverage shall be in amounts and for durations acceptable to Contractor and as required by the prime Contract, Subcontractor shall name Contractor as an additional insured under the Commercial Liability policy using ISO Form CG 20 10. Subcontractor shall provide certificate of insurance to Contractor prior to commencement of the work.

- 15. On March 4, 2019, underlying plaintiff Benicia Mullikin (co-trustee of the Sprague Mullikin Living Trust) filed a Complaint in the San Francisco Superior Court against Kelly Pacific, alleging construction defects and damages arising out of the work performed at the Property.
- 16. The Underlying Action includes allegations of significant property damage arising out of Diamond SP's stucco work including but not limited to water leaking into the interior of the Property through the stucco, causing resultant property damage.

#### The Insurance Policies

- 17. Rockhill issued primary Commercial General Liability policy number RCGLPG00642-03, effective June 7, 2017 through June 7, 2018, to Kelly Pacific (the "Rockhill Policy"). Kelly Pacific tendered its defense to Rockhill which agreed to participate in Kelly Pacific's defense in the Underlying Action subject to a reservation of rights.
- 18. Rockhill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Associated Industries issued one or more primary Commercial General Liability policies to Diamond DSP (the "Associated Industries Policies") relevant to the claims in the Underlying Action. Rockhill alleges that Kelly Pacific's defense as an additional insured under the Associated Industries Policies was tendered to Associated Industries no later than April 30, 2019. To date, Associated Industries has failed and refused to issue its coverage determination and honor its additional insured obligations to Kelly Pacific.
- 19. Rockhill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the insurance policies issued by DOES 1 through 50 to Kelly Pacific and/or its subcontractors

4.

1	provide additional insured Commercial General Liability coverage to Kelly Pacific for the		
2	allegations in the Underlying Action.		
3	20.	Rockhill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Kelly	
4	Pacific tendered the Underlying Actions to each of the DOES 1 through 50, and said DOES		
5	1 through 50 either refused to defend Kelly Pacific or did not respond to the tenders.		
6	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
7	(Equitable Contribution against all Defendants)		
8	21.	Paragraphs 1-20, inclusive, are incorporated by reference as though fully set	
9	forth herein.		
10	22.	The allegations against Kelly Pacific in the Underlying Action are for	
11	damages potentially covered by the Associated Industries Policies and/or policies issued by		
12	the Doe Defendants.		
13	23.	Defendants are obligated to defend and indemnify Kelly Pacific in the	
14	Underlying Action under their respective policies.		
15	24.	Defendants have failed and refused to participate in the Kelly Pacific's	
16	defense.		
17	25.	As a consequence of Defendants' failure and refusal to fulfill their	
18	obligations to defend and indemnify Kelly Pacific, Rockhill has and continues to incur more		
19	than its equitable share of the costs of defense and will incur more than its equitable share of		
20	the cost of indemnity.		
21	26.	As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Rockhill is entitled to an award	
22	of equitable contribution to reimburse it for the inequitable costs it has or will incur in		
23	defending and indemnifying Kelly Pacific in the Underlying Action.		
24	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
25		(Declaratory Relief)	
26	27.	Paragraphs 1-26, inclusive, are incorporated by reference as though fully set	
27	forth herein.		
28	///		
		5.	

1	28.
2	Rockhill an
3	their respec
4	to fully and
5	Rockhill is
6	29.
7	Pacific in co
8	thereon alle
9	30.
10	Parties may
11	Rockhill red
12	
13	Whe
14	against Ass
15	1
16	
17	j
18	2. ]
19	1
20	1
21	3. ]
22	4. For
23	5. For
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///

28. An actual and justiciable controversy has arisen and now exists between Rockhill and Defendants concerning their respective rights, duties and obligations under their respective policies. Rockhill contends that Defendants had and continue to have a duty to fully and completely defend and indemnify Kelly Pacific in the Underlying Action. Rockhill is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants contend otherwise.

- 29. Rockhill contends it has overpaid beyond its equitable share to defend Kelly Pacific in connection with the Underlying Action. Rockhill is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants contend otherwise.
- 30. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time so that the Parties may ascertain their rights, duties, and obligations under their respective policies, and Rockhill requests such a declaration through this action.

# **PRAYER**

Wherefore, Rockhill asks for judgment and seeks the following relief from this Court against Associated Industries and DOES 1 through 50:

- A judicial declaration of the respective rights, duties, and obligations of Rockhill
  and Defendants with respect to the duties to defend and indemnify Kelly Pacific
  in connection with the Underlying Action;
- For an award of damages in favor of Rockhill in an amount to equitably allocate
  the costs incurred to defend and indemnify Kelly Pacific in connection with the
  Underlying Action;
- 3. For pre-judgment interest on all sums awarded at the legal rate;
- 4. For an award of Rockhill's costs in this action; and
- 5. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

6.

# 

1	Dated: December 6, 2021	WOLKIN • CURRAN, LLP
2		/s/ Catharine M. Tolson
3		Dv.
4		Jennifer L. Elowsky, Esq. Catharine M. Tolson, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff ROCKHILL INSURANCE COMPANY
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff ROCKHILL INSURANCE COMPANY
6		
7		
8		
9		
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li></ul>		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		7.
	COMPLAINT	CASE NO.